UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/636,132	08/06/2003	Craig A. Hickman	108298728US	2394	
25096	7590 05/02/2007		' EXAMINER		
PERKINS COIE LLP PATENT-SEA			STINSON, FRANKIE L		
• • • • •	P.O. BOX 1247		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			1746	•	
		•			
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			,
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summers	10/636,132	HICKMAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAIL INC DATE of the	FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addre	?SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this comm	
Status	•	·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	larch 2007.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 1-21 and 56 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 and 56 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers	-		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. sion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received.	ation No eived in this National Sta	ige
	•		
Attachment(s)		•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/13/07	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date	
	, <u> </u>		

Art Unit: 1746

1. The indicated allowability of claims 4 and 15 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Miyai et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 14-18, 20, 21 and 56 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Miyai et al. (U. S. pat. No. 5,825,470).

Re claims 1, 4, 11, 15 and 18 for example, note that Miyai is cited disclosing a stepper or scanner machine for processing microfeature workpieces, the machine comprising:

a housing (12);

an illuminator (26) disposed within the housing;

a lens (col. 9, lines 62) disposed within the housing;

a workpiece support (20) disposed within the housing;

- a cleaning device (1300, see fig. 26), a cleaning fluid supply, and a vacuum pump all disposed within the housing to remove contaminants from the workpiece support the cleaning device being operably coupled to the vacuum pump and in fluid communication with the cleaning fluid supply; and
- a stage (38) carrying the workpiece support, at least one of the stage and the cleaning device being movable relative to the other to selectively position the workpiece support at least proximate to the cleaning device.

Art Unit: 1746

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 13 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyai et al. in view of Nishi et al. (U. S. Pat. No.5,559,582).
- Claims 2, 13 and 19 define over Miyai only in the recitation of the end effector being configured to engage the support. Nishi (see fig. 11) is cited the arrangement effector configured to engage a work support as instantly claimed. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the arrangement of Miyai, to have the end effector configured to engaged to the support as taught by Nishi, for the purpose of ensuring the complete removal of contaminants from the work support.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746